

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT SEATTLE

7 THOMAS G. McGRAW and YVONNE McGRAW,)
8)

9 Plaintiffs,)

10 v.)

11 UNITED STATES, *et al.*,)
12)

13 Defendants.)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)

Case No. C08-0092RSL

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

13 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs' "Motion for Enlargement of Time
14 to Respond to Defendant Regence Blue Shield's [sic] Motion to Dismiss." Dkt. # 5. Defendant
15 does not object to a reasonable extension of time. Dkt. # 6. Having reviewed the underlying
16 motion and plaintiffs' memorandum, the Court GRANTS plaintiffs' motion for an extension of
17 time in which to respond to defendant's motion to dismiss. The Clerk of Court is directed to
18 renote defendant Regence BlueShield's motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 3) on the Court's calendar for
19 May 2, 2008. Plaintiffs' response is now due on Monday, April 28, 2008. Defendant's reply, if
20 any, is due on Friday, May 2, 2008.

21
22 Dated this 27th day of March, 2008.

23 

24 Robert S. Lasnik
25 United States District Judge
26

ORDER EXTENDING TIME